

Questions & Answers
Curve Inn
54731-0283-20-A
03-55-000038

1. IS THERE A BASEMENT UNDER THE CURVE INN BUILDING?

NOT TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONSULTANT WHO DID THE SI.

2. HAVE ANY PETROLEUM VAPORS BEEN DETECTED IN EITHER THE CURVE INN BUILDING, OR IN THE BASEMENT, IF THERE IS A BASEMENT?

NOTHING HAS BEEN REPORTED.

3. HAS ANY FREE PRODUCT BEEN REMOVED FROM MW-1 OR MW-2 SINCE IT WAS ENCOUNTERED? IF SO, HOW MUCH, AND BY WHAT MEANS, AND HAS IT BEEN REMOVED FROM THE SITE, OR IS IT CURRENTLY STORED ON SITE?

NO FREE PRODUCT HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE WELLS.

4. WHERE IS THE BENCH MARK LOCATED THAT IS REFERENCED ON PAGE 11 OF THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT?

AN ASSUMED ELEVATION OF 1136.00 MSL ON THE WEST RAIL AT THE CENTERLINE OF THE HIGHWAY.

5. A "WELL" IS LABELED JUST WEST OF MW-5 ON FIGURE 2. IS THIS THE POTABLE WELL FOR THE CURVE INN?

YES, THAT IS THEIR PRESENT POTABLE WELL FOR THE CURVE INN.

6. THE BID DOCUMENT SPECIFIES THAT MONITORING WELLS ARE TO BE SAMPLED. SHOULD THE POTABLE WELL ALSO BE SAMPLED? IF SO, HOW OFTEN, AND FOR WHAT ANALYTES?

THE BID DOCUMENT REQUIRES THAT ALL POTABLE WELLS WITHIN 100 FEET OF AN ES BE SAMPLED ANNUALLY FOR PVOCS.

7. THE CONDITION OF MW-4 IS DESCRIBED IN THE FEBRUARY 15, 2002, LETTER TO YOU FROM CEDAR CORPORATION. WHAT TYPE OF REPAIRS ARE NEEDED FOR MW'S 1, 2, 3, AND 10?

THE WELLS NEED TO BE CUT DOWN, FLUSH MOUNTS REPLACED, AND ELEVATIONS RESURVEYED.

8. HOW MANY POTABLE WELLS ARE LOCATED WITHIN 100 FEET OF AN ES EXCEEDANCE?

IT IS BELIEVED THAT THERE ARE 3 POTABLE WELLS WITHIN 100 FT. OF AN ES (INCLUDING THE SITE WELL)

9. THERE IS NO CLEAN UPGRADIENT MONITORING WELL. AN UPGRADIENT WELL SEEMS DESIRABLE ESPECIALLY BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER SITES

APPARENTLY UPGRADIENT THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIAL LUST SITES. SHOULD AN UPGRADIENT MONITORING WELL BE INSTALLED?
IT MAY BE DESIRABLE TO INSTALL AN UPGRADIENT MONITORING WELL WITH A CHOSEN REMEDIAL ACTION, BUT IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT IN THE BID.

10. HAS A SITE INVESTIGATION BEEN CONDUCTED FOR THE POST OFFICE SITE AND THE FOODMILL SITE? WHERE IS THE FOODMILL LOCATED?

UNSURE, YOU MAY NEED TO REVIEW BRRTS ON THE WEB AND OTHER LUST/ERP FILES IN THE DNR RHINELANDER OFFICE. TO REVIEW FILES PLEASE CONTACT JANET KAZDA, 107 SUTLIFF AVE, RHINELANDER ,WI 54501 ((715) 365-8990) FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO REVIEW THE FILES.

11. IS THE CLOVERBELT CO-OP THE SAME AS THE WISCONSIN DAIRIES? HAS A SITE INVESTIGATION BEEN CONDUCTED AT THE CLOVERBELT CO-OP? HAS A GROUND WATER PLUME FROM THIS SITE BEEN IDENTIFIED?

UNSURE, YOU MAY NEED TO REVIEW BRRTS ON THE WEB AND OTHER LUST/ERP FILES IN THE DNR RHINELANDER OFFICE. TO REVIEW FILES PLEASE CONTACT JANET KAZDA, 107 SUTLIFF AVE, RHINELANDER ,WI 54501 ((715) 365-8990) FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO REVIEW THE FILES.

12. CAN WE OBTAIN A COPY OF THE 1993 TANK CLOSURE SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT? AS A MINIMUM, WHAT WERE THE SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS AND LOCATIONS THAT THE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED? THIS CLOSURE ASSESSMENT IS MENTIONED IN THE SUMMARY SECTION ON PAGE II OF THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT.

THE TANK ASSESSMENT REPORT IS IN THE 03-55-000038 CURVE INN FILE IN THE RHINELANDER DNR OFFICE. THE FILE CAN BE REVIEWED AND COPIES CAN BE MADE. TO REVIEW FILES PLEASE CONTACT JANET KAZDA, 107 SUTLIFF AVE, RHINELANDER ,WI 54501 ((715) 365-8990) FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO REVIEW THE FILES.

13. THE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT RECOMMENDS ON PAGE II SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION AND AIR SPARGING FOR THE REMEDIATION PHASE, BUT THE BID DOCUMENT SPECIFIES EXCAVATION. MUST THE PUBLIC BID BE BASED UPON EXCAVATION, OR IS ANOTHER FORM OF REMEDIATION ACCEPTABLE?

THE BID DOCUMENT DOES NOT SPECIFY EXCAVATION. IT SPECIFIES SOURCE CONTROL WHICH COULD INCLUDED SVE OR AIR SPARGE. THE BID DOCUMENT DOES REFERENCE "POST-EXCAVATION CONFIRMATION SAMPLES" THIS SHOULD BE "POST-REMEDIAL ACTION CONFIRMATION SAMPLES".

14. THE BID DOCUMENT SPECIFIES SOURCE CONTROL IN THE FORMER UST BED AREA. CAN THIS BE EXTENDED TO OTHER AREAS SUCH AS UNDER THE FORMER PUMP ISLAND?

YES

15. DOES THE RP HAVE A PECFA LOAN? IF SO, WITH WHAT LENDING institution?

The RP did have a loan through Firststar, which the consultant thinks that they still have access to.

16. I AM WONDERING IF YOU KNOW IF THE DAMAGED MONITORING WELLS (MW-1, -2, -3, -4 AND -10) SIMPLY NEED FIXING, OR WILL ANY OF THEM ACTUALLY NEED TO BE REPLACED? IS THERE REALLY NO WAY TO COLLECT A GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (BAILER VS. SMALL SUBMERSIBLE PUMP)? ARE THEY FLUSH MOUNTS?

MW-1, -2, -3, and -10 need to be cut down, flush mounts replaced, and elevations resurveyed. MW-4 can no longer be sealed as the PVC casing has been crushed possible due to frost heaving. From the consultants February 15, 2002 last round of sampling, it appears that samples can be collected from the wells. MW-1 had free product, and samples for MW-4 were broken in transport.